February 4, 2004

The Honorable Michael Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW Washington, DC 20554

## Re: Ex Parte Comments. In the Matter of Vonage Petition for Declaratory Ruling (WC Docket No. 03-211)

Dear Chairman Powell:

In advance of the Commission's imminent proceeding on Voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP), the Alliance for Public Technology (APT) submits the attached letter that was sent to the House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet on January 30<sup>th</sup>.

As the letter indicates, APT and the other signers believe VOIP and other emerging technologies offer exciting new possibilities in expanding the way Americans communicate, but only if *all* Americans have affordable, quality access to them.

We share the excitement that the potential of new technologies like VOIP generates. We are concerned, however, that the excitement of some industry members is less about deployment of the new technology and more about avoiding paying their fair share of access costs and other fees.

The new regulatory framework should not favor any one platform. All VOIP-based service providers should be subject to the same rules based on the how they offer the service and its connection to the public network. In addition, and most importantly, all voice service providers, regardless of the technology used, should meet important social obligations. These include obligations for universal service support, support of telecommunications relay services (TRS), access for people with disabilities, intercarrier compensation, public safety obligations such as E911, privacy protections, advance notice of termination of service, and other consumer protections. Regulators must ensure that all providers of voice telephony, regardless of the technology or functionality employed, contribute in an equitable manner to these goals.

As the Commission formulates its position on VOIP, APT urges you to incorporate these social obligations and public interest goals into the proceedings and subsequent regulatory frameworks.

Sincerely,

Matthew Bennett Policy Director

Alliance for Public Technology

Man BS

Cc: Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein Commissioner Michael Copps Commissioner Kevin Martin January 30, 2004

The Honorable Fred Upton Chairman House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet 2161 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Edward Markey
Ranking Member
House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Upton and Representative Markey:

As the Subcommittee prepares to consider the critical issue of Voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP), the undersigned organizations urge you to ensure that the social obligations of universal service, disability access, and public safety continue to be the hallmark of our nation's telecommunications policy. VOIP and other emerging technologies offer exciting new possibilities in expanding the way Americans communicate, but only if *all* Americans have affordable, quality access to them.

Providers of voice telephony have been traditionally required to meet public interest obligations. As a policy framework is developed for VOIP and other emerging technologies, the following must continue to be the centerpiece of telecommunications policy:

- Universal service As a service that is functionally equivalent to plain old telephone service, VOIP providers must contribute to the universal service fund to ensure affordable access to telecommunications services for all Americans. The public switched network remains the backbone of this country's communications system and VOIP providers must contribute to the maintenance of the network through intercarrier compensation.
- Access for people with disabilities Section 255 of the Telecommunications Act
  mandates that telecommunications services are accessible and usable for people with
  disabilities. These provisions must apply equally to VOIP carriers. VOIP providers
  must contribute to the telecommunications relay service (TRS) fund to ensure
  accessible telecommunications service for people with hearing and speech
  disabilities.

- **Public safety requirements** Communications providers, regardless of technology, must provide E911 service to customers.
- Consumer protections All providers of voice telephony must provide basic consumer protections, including privacy, advanced notification of termination of service, and other obligations.

As telecommunications evolves in the broadband world, we urge your support for public policies that ensure that all Americans reap the benefits from these new technologies by protecting programs that ensure universal service, access for people with disabilities, public safety, and other consumer protections. We look forward to working with you in support of these public policies that protect public interest obligations in a broadband environment.

## Sincerely,

Alliance for Public Technology
Alliance for Technology Access
American Association of Law Libraries
American Association of People With Disabilities
Communications Workers of America
Community Action Partnership
Department of Professional Employees, AFL-CIO
Independent Living Network
MAAC Project
National Consumers League
National Hispanic Council on Aging
Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc.
Telecommunications Research and Action Center

Cc: Members of the House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and the Internet